

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 09/910,479	Applicant(s) ROSE ET AL.
	Examiner Chun Cao	Art Unit 2115

All Participants:**Status of Application:** Pending(1) Chun Cao. (3) _____.(2) Rex I. Huang (Reg. No. 57,661). (4) _____.**Date of Interview:** 15 December 2005**Time:** 9:30 am**Type of Interview:**

- Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

112 2nd paragraph rejection

Claims discussed:

2, 4, 6, 7, 15, 21, 22 and 28

Prior art documents discussed:

None

Part II.**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: 35 USC 112 2nd problem of claims 2, 4, 6, 7, 15, 21, 22 and 28 were discussed. Applicant agreed to amend the claim to overcome the discussed 112 2nd problem as set forth in examiner amendment in order to place the application in condition for allowance..